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#### Abstract

Expert Computer Store is a store that sells various computer equipment and computer equipment. The problem faced by shop owners is that the bookkeeping of inventory and sales is still done manually, and there is no complete sales data analysis related to the accumulation of goods due to lack of sales and empty goods. Because the business process is carried out manually, the shop owner has difficulty in analyzing what items sell quickly and what items are bought together. This causes store owners to be unable to meet market demand and has an impact on decreasing buyer interest and sales levels. This study uses 23 transaction data of computer equipment sales products as samples. The algorithm used to help stores find customer buying patterns is the FP-Growth Algorithm, so that it can help business owners in managing the number of orders in order to meet market demand. The result of this research is a decision in the procurement of goods at the Expert Computer Store. which can be seen from the frequency pattern of purchasing building materials made by customers.
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## 1. Introduction

The rapid development of digital technology is characterized by increased access to information. This development is always accompanied by the emergence of highly sophisticated technology and advanced innovation. The benefits of computers are very broad, ranging from the legal field of education, and the economic field, especially trade [1].

The need for quality information is a major factor in designing the use of information technology. The presence of business management information technology can reduce the error rate when revenue and followed by increased business output.

Data mining is a process that includes statistical, mathematical, artificial intelligence, and machine learning techniques that can identify and generate hidden information from data sets. And data mining is a method of using
special techniques to find hidden information and patterns in data[2].

Inventory is a pile of goods that are deliberately stored as assets or stockpiles given the scarcity of these goods. As inventory management management is something that needs special attention from the business [3].

It management actors in a company can manage stock by providing the most demanded products, because providing stock inventory needs is a strategy in achieving maximum sales targets. [4]

In previous research conducted by Satlea Suhada, et al with the title Application of the fp-growth algorithm to determine consumer purchasing patterns. Explaining that the fpgrowth algorithm is suitable for determining consumer purchasing patterns in sales transaction data. The resulting association provisions can be used as support in decision making by company management such as
helping with marketing strategies, ensuring product layout arrangements, and setting discounts for a mixture of certain items that are often purchased at the same time by consumers[5].

The problem faced by the owner of the Expert Computer shop is that the bookkeeping of inventory and sales is still done manually, and there is no complete sales data analysis related to the accumulation of goods due to lack of sales and empty goods, because the business process is manual, it is difficult for shop owners to analyze which products are in demand and which items are bought together. This has an impact on reduced buyer interest and sales because store owners cannot meet market demand.

The Frequent Pattern-Growth algorithm method is an alternative that can already be used to determine the most frequently occurring frequent itemset in a set of data. The Frequent Pattern-Growth algorithm uses the concept of tree construction, also known as Frequent Pattern-Tree when searching for frequent itemsets, rather than getting candidate results like the Apriori algorithm. This concept, rather than the Frequent Pattern-Growth algorithms, maximizes speed. [6]

One of the most frequently occurring alternative Frequent Pattern-Growth algorithms that determines the set of data that can be used for (frequent itemset) in a data set. [7]

## 2. METHODS

The stages of research are a sequence of processes or steps that will be taken in completing this research. The stages of this research are as follows:

1. Field Research

This method is done by coming directly to the Expert Computer Store to study existing problems and collect all data related to the research. This research was carried out by making observations or observations and conducting interviews.
2. Library Research

This research was conducted to research and collect information and sources related to the research. Information and sources are obtained from books, the internet, research reports, scientific journals, theses, and literature.
3. Laboratory Research

This research is related to the hardware and software used in the research. Conducted to test the accuracy of the system by practicing the
results of the analysis and trying programming on a computer.
4. Analysis

Analysis of problem identification, research conducts several analyzes to produce the expected system, in the analysis process there are 3 stages, namely the stages of data analysis, process analysis, and system analysis.
5. Design

In this design, researchers use the Unified Modeling Language (UML), to design the system. As well as designing the interface of the system being built.

## 3. RESULT

Discussion of the results of research and testing obtained is presented in the form of theoretical descriptions, both qualitatively and quantitatively.

The data source used in this research is unprocessed data in the form of transaction data. The recorded data has product name and product code attributes.


|  | Table 2 grouped transaction data |
| :--- | :--- |
| No | Products |
| 1 | KD0005, KD0014, KD0012 |
| 2 | KD0011, KD0006, KD0012 |
| 3 | KD0007, KD0010, KD0014 |
| 4 | KD0013, KD0014 |
| 5 | KD0001, KD0016, KD0014 |
| 6 | KD0003, KD0014, KD0002, KD0016 |
| 7 | KD0005, KD0014, KD0016 |
| 8 | KD0009, KD0014, KD0002 |
| 9 | KD0011, KD0012, KD0017, KD0004 |
| 10 | KD0015, KD0012, KD0017, KD0006 |
| 11 | KD0003, KD0008, KD0017 |
| 12 | KD0015, KD0008, KD0006, KD0002 |
| 13 | KD0007, KD0010, KD0012, KD0017 |
| 14 | KD0011, KD0010, KD0014, KD0016 |
| 15 | KD0005, KD0006, KD0008 |
| 16 | KD0013, KD0017, KD0012, KD0004 |
| 17 | KD0011, KD0012, KD0014, KD0006 |
| 18 | KD0011, KD0002, KD0004, KD0014 |
| 19 | KD0015, KD0012, KD0017, KD0010 |
| 20 | KD0001, KD0002, KD0008 |
| 21 | KD0009, KD0017, KD0012, KD0006 |
| 22 | KD0009, KD0012, KD0002, KD0017 |
| 23 | KD0013, KD0017, KD0008, KD0012 |

Table 3 Frequency of Occurrence of Each

| Item/Product |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| No | Product Code | Product Name | Frequency |
| 1 | KD0001 | Acer Aspire 3050 4/256 GB | 2 |
| 2 | KD0002 | Gamepad Double Black | 6 |
| 3 | KD0003 | Acer Aspire A514 I5 GEN 11 4/512 GB | 2 |
| 4 | KD0004 | Flashdisk Sandisk 16 GB | 3 |
| 5 | KD0005 | Asus A416J I7 GEN 10 | 3 |
| 6 | KD0006 | Gamepad Single Black | 6 |
| 7 | KD0007 | Asus M1403QA R55600 8/512 GB | 2 |
| 8 | KD0008 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Keyboard } \\ & \text { Rexus KM8 } \end{aligned}+\text { Mouse }$ | 5 |
| 9 | KD0009 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HP 14S-FQ2002AU } \\ & \text { R5-5652 8/512 GB } \end{aligned}$ | 3 |
| 10 | KD0010 | Flashdisk Sandisk 128 GB | 4 |
| 11 | KD0011 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { HP } 14 \mathrm{~s}-\mathrm{DQ} 0508 \mathrm{TU} \\ & \text { CELL } 4 / 256 \mathrm{~GB} \end{aligned}$ | 5 |
| 12 | KD0012 | HDD External 1TB | 11 |
| 13 | KD0013 | Lenovo IP 1 R3-5300 8/512 GB | 3 |
| 14 | KD0014 | Flashdisk Sandisk Fit USB CZ430 32 GB 3.0 | 10 |
| 15 | KD0015 | Lenovo V14 I3 GEN 11 4/256 GB | 3 |
| 16 | KD0016 | Converter HDMI- | 4 |
| 17 | KD0017 | Mouse Wireless Logitech 186 | 9 |

After calculating the frequency of occurrence of each item, it is known that items that are above the minimum support value $=$ $17 \%$ where above the minimum support the product will be influential and will be included in the FP-tree, the rest can be discarded because they do not meet the minimum support $=17 \%$.

Table 4 Transactions customized with Frequent List

| No | Products |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | KD0012, KD0014, |
| 2 | KD0012, KD0006, KD0011 |
| 3 | KD0014, KD0010 |
| 4 | KD0014, |
| 5 | KD0014, KD0016 |
| 6 | KD0014, KD0002, KD0016 |
| 7 | KD0014, KD0016, |
| 8 | KD0014, KD0002, |
| 9 | KD0012, KD0017, KD0011 |
| 10 | KD0012, KD0017, KD0006 |
| 11 | KD0017, KD0008 |
| 12 | KD0002, KD0006, KD0008 |
| 13 | KD0012, KD0017, KD0010 |
| 14 | KD0014, KD0011, KD0010, KD0016 |
| 15 | KD0006, KD0008 |
| 16 | KD0012, KD0017 |
| 17 | KD0012, KD0014, KD0006, KD0011 |
| 18 | KD0014, KD0002, KD0011 |
| 19 | KD0012, KD0017, KD0010 |
| 20 | KD0002, KD0008 |
| 21 | KD0012, KD0017, KD0006 |
| 22 | KD0012, KD0017, KD0002 |
| 23 | KD0012, KD0017, KD0008 |



Figure 1. FP-Tree formation results after TID 3 reading

The picture is an explanation of the formation of the Fp-Tree after the reading is obtained after doing TID 23, which contains Null-KD0012=11, KD0017=8, KD0008=1.

To find Frequent itemset from table 4.6, it is necessary to first determine the path that ends with the smallest support count, namely

KD0016 followed by KD0010, KD0008, KD0011, KD0002, KD0006, KD0017, KD0014, and ended with KD0012.

After checking the frequent itemset for several suffixes, the results are summarized in the table below.

Table 5 Frequent Itemset (Suffix) results

| No | Suffix | Frequent Itemset |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | KD00 | \{KD0016\}\{KD0016,KD0014\} |
|  | 16 | \{KD0016,KD0011\}\{KD0016, |
|  |  | KD0010\}\{KD0016, KD0002\} |
|  |  | $\{$ KD0016, KD0002, KD0014\} |
|  |  | \{KD0016, KD0010, KD0014\} |
|  |  | \{KD0016, KD0011, KD014\} |
|  |  | \{KD0016, KD0010, KD0011, |


| No | Suffix | Frequent Itemset KD0014\} |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | KD00 | \{KD0010\}\{KD0010,KD0012\} |
|  | 10 | \{KD0010,KD0014\}\{KD0010, |
|  |  | KD0017\}\{KD0010, KD0011\} |
|  |  | \{KD0010,KD0017, KD0012\} |
|  |  | \{KD0010, KD0011, KD0014\} |
| 3 | KD00 | \{KD0008\}\{KD0008, KD0012\} |
|  | 08 | \{KD0008, KD0017\} \{KD0008, |
|  |  | KD006\} \{KD0008,KD0002 |
|  |  | \{KD0008,KD0017,KD0012\} |
|  |  | \{KD0008, KD0002, KD0006\} |
| 4 | KD00 | \{KD0011\} \{KD0011, KD0012\} |
|  | 11 | \{KD0011, KD0014\} \{KD0011, |
|  |  | KD0006\} \{KD0011, KD0017\} |
|  |  | \{KD0011, KD0002\} \{KD0011, |
|  |  | KD0006\} \{KD0011, KD0006, |
|  |  | KD0012\} \{KD0011, KD0014, |
|  |  | KD0012\} \{KD0011, KD0002, |
|  |  | KD0014\} \{KD0011, KD0006, |
|  |  | KD0014\} \{KD0011, KD0006, |
|  |  | KD0014, KD0012 |
| 5 | KD00 | \{KD0006\} \{KD0006, KD0012\} |
|  | 06 | \{KD0006, KD0014\} \{KD0006, |
|  |  | KD0017\} \{KD0006, KD0014, |
|  |  | KD0012\} \{KD0006, KD0017, |
|  |  | KD0012 $\}$ |
| 6 | KD00 | \{KD0002\} \{KD0002, KD0006\} |
|  | 02 | \{KD0002, KD0014\} \{KD0002, |
|  |  | KD0012\} \{KD0002, KD0017\} |
|  |  | \{KD0002, KD0017, KD0012\} |
| 7 | KD00 | \{KD0017 \{KD0017, KD0012 |
|  | 17 |  |
| 8 | KD00 | \{KD0014\} \{KD0014, KD0012\} |
|  | 14 |  |
| 9 | KD00 |  |
|  |  | \{KD0012\} |

Stages carried out after Frequent Item, then the next stage is to add up from each item or product that has a support count with a minimum support value of> $17 \%$ and mimimum confidence is $60 \%$, will be generated in the Conditional FP-Tree. The following is the generation of Conditional FP-Tree on 2 items, 3 items and 4 items. The explanation obtained from Frequent Item can be seen in the following table[6] :
$\begin{aligned} & \text { Suppor } \\ & \text { Number of Transaction cases Contataining A and B } \\ & =\frac{\text { Total Transactions }}{} \\ & \times 100\end{aligned}$,

Confidence
$=\frac{\text { Number of Transactions Containing A and B }}{\text { Number of Transactions Containing A }}$ $\times 100$

Table 6 Support and Confidence 2 Items

| If Antecedent then Consequent | Support | Confidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \{KD0016, KD0014\} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{4}{23} \times 100 \\ & =17,39 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{4}{4} \times 100 \\ & =100 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0016, KD0011\} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{4} \times 100 \\ & =25 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0016, KD0010 | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{4} \times 100 \\ & =25 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0016, KD0002 \} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{4} \times 100 \\ & =25 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0010, KD0012 \} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{2}{23} \times 100 \\ & =8,69 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{2}{4} \times 100 \\ & =50 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0010, KD0014\} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{2}{23} \times 100 \\ & =8,69 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{2}{4} \times 100 \\ & =50 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0010, KD0017\} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{4} \times 100 \\ & =25 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0010, KD0011\} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{4} \times 100 \\ & =25 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0008, KD0012 | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{5} \times 100 \\ & =20 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0008, KD0017\} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{5} \times 100 \\ & =20 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0008, KD0006\} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{5} \times 100 \\ & =20 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0008, KD0002 \} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{2}{23} \times 100 \\ & =8,69 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{2}{5} \times 100 \\ & =40 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0011, KD0012 \} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{3}{23} \times 100 \\ & =13,04 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{3}{5} \times 100 \\ & =60 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0011, KD0014\} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{2}{23} \times 100 \\ & =8,69 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{2}{5} \times 100 \\ & =40 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0011, KD0006\} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{2}{23} \times 100 \\ & =8,69 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{2}{5} \times 100 \\ & =40 \% \end{aligned}$ |


| If Antecedent then Consequent | Support | Confidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \{KD0011, KD0017 \} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{5} \times 100 \\ & =20 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0011, KD0002 \} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{5} \times 100 \\ & =20 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0006, KD0012 \} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{4}{23} \times 100 \\ & =17,39 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{4}{6} \times 100 \\ & =66,66 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0006, KD0017 \} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{6} \times 100 \\ & =16,66 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0002, KD0006\} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{6} \times 100 \\ & =16,66 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0002, KD0014\} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{3}{23} \times 100 \\ & =13,04 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{3}{6} \times 100 \\ & =50 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0002, KD0012 \} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{6} \times 100 \\ & =16,66 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0002, KD0017 \} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{23} \times 100 \\ & =4,34 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{1}{6} \times 100 \\ & =16,66 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0017, KD0012 \} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{7}{23} \times 100 \\ & =30,43 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{7}{9} \times 100 \\ & =77,77 \% \end{aligned}$ |
| \{KD0014, KD0012 \} | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{2}{23} \times 100 \\ & =8,69 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & =\frac{2}{9} \times 100 \\ & =22,22 \% \end{aligned}$ |

After all the suffix searches above, it can produce a Conditional FP-Tree that is obtained in accordance with the minimum support> $17 \%$ and minimum confidence $60 \%$ and items that are eliminated or discarded are not written again in Table 7 below:

Table 7 Results of Conditional FP-Tree

| No | Suffix | Conditional FP-Tree |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | KD0016 | $\{\mathrm{KD} 0016=4\}\{\mathrm{KD} 0016, \mathrm{KD} 0014=4\}$ |
| 2 | KD 0010 | $\{\mathrm{KD} 0010=4\}$ |
| 3 | KD 0011 | $\{\mathrm{KD} 0011=5\}$ |
| 4 | KD 0006 | $\{\mathrm{KD} 0006=6\}\{\mathrm{KD} 0006, \mathrm{KD} 0012=4\}$ |
| 5 | KD 0002 | $\{\mathrm{KD} 0002=6\}$ |
| 6 | KD 0017 | $\{\mathrm{KD} 0017=9\}\{\mathrm{KD} 0017, \mathrm{KD} 0012=8\}$ |
| 7 | KD 0014 | $\{\mathrm{KD} 0014=10\}$ |
| 8 | KD 0012 | $\{\mathrm{KD} 0012=11\}$ |

Of the 23 Conditional FP-Tree, not all are calculated. Because in generating a minimum Association Rule Conditional FP-Tree that is
calculated there are 2 items or products where if you buy a KD0016 product, you will buy a KD0014 product. So that what is worth calculating the confidence is 3 subsets, namely \{KD0016, KD0014\}, \{KD0006, KD0012 \}, \{KD0017, KD0012 \}.

Association Rule can be known by two parameters, namely support with a minimum support of $17 \%$ and confidence with a minimum confidence of $60 \%$.

After obtaining the frequent itemset, then create a rule by calculating the confidence of each rule.

Table 8 Results of Support and Confidence

| If Antecedent then | Support | Confidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consequent |  |  |
| If Customer Buys | $4 \times 100$ |  |
| KD0014 Then | $\frac{23}{23} \times 100$ | $=\frac{10}{10} \times 100$ |
| Customer Also Buys KD0016 | = 17,39\% | = $40 \%$ |
| If Customer Buys | - | 4 |
| KD0016 Then | $\frac{23}{23} \times 100$ | $=\frac{4}{4} \times 10$ |
| Customer Also Buys | = 17,39\% | = 100\% |
| KD0014 |  |  |
| If the customer buys | $-\frac{4}{23} \times 100$ |  |
| KD0012 then the | $=\frac{1}{23} \times 100$ | $=\frac{11}{11} \times 100$ |
| customer also buys KD0016. | $=17,39 \%$ | $=36,36 \%$ |
| If the customer | +100 | 4 |
| purchases KD0016 | $=\frac{23}{23} \times 100$ | $=\frac{4}{6} \times 100$ |
| then the customer | = 17,39\% | $=66,67 \%$ |
| also purchases |  |  |
| KD0012 |  |  |
| If the customer | $=\frac{8}{22} \times 100$ | $=\frac{8}{11} \times 100$ |
| purchases KD0012 |  |  |
| then the customer also purchases | = 34,78\% | = 72,73\% |
| KD0017. |  |  |
| If the customer | $=\frac{8}{23} \times 100$ | $=\frac{8}{9} \times 100$ |
| then the customer | = $34,78 \%$ | = 88,89\% |
| also purchases |  |  |
| KD0012. |  |  |

Of the many rules obtained, multiply the Support with Confidence, where Confidence is taken around $60 \%$ and above Like the table

Table 9 Results of ruls

| If Antecedent then Consequent |  | Support | Confiden ce | Support * Confidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jika | Pelanggan | 0,1739 | 100 |  |
| Membeli | KD0016 |  |  | 0,1739 |
| Maka | Pelanggan |  |  | $\times 100$ |
| Juga | Membeli |  |  | $=17,39$ |
| KD0014 |  |  |  |  |
| Jika | Pelanggan | 0,1739 | 0,6667 |  |
| Membeli | KD0002 |  |  | 0,1739 |
| Maka | Pelanggan |  |  | $\times 0,666$ |
| Juga | Membeli |  |  | $=0,116$ |
| KD0014 |  |  |  |  |
| Jika | Pelanggan | 0,3478 | 0,7273 |  |
| Membeli | KD0006 |  |  | 0,3478 |
| Maka | Pelanggan |  |  | $\times 0,727$ |
| Juga | Membeli |  |  | $=0,253$ |
| KD0012 |  |  |  |  |
| Jika | Pelanggan | 0,3478 | 0,8889 |  |
| Membeli | KD0012 |  |  | 0,3478 <br> $\times 0,8889$ |
| Maka | Pelanggan |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \times 0,8889 \\ & =0309 \end{aligned}$ |
| Juga | Membeli |  |  | = 0,309 |


| If Antecedent then Consequent |  | Support | Confiden ce | Support Confidence |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jika | Pelanggan |  |  |  |
| Membeli | KD0016 |  |  | 0,1739 |
| Maka | Pelanggan | 0,1739 | 100 | $\times 100$ |
| Juga | Membeli |  |  | $=17,39$ |
| KD0014 |  |  |  |  |
| KD0017 |  |  |  |  |

Minimum support and confidene results. The result of the related rule is the one with the largest Confidene, namely the gray-marked case. After obtaining a rule that has confidene $\geq 60 \%$ then determining the rule that meets the needs, it can be concluded that the related rule is if the customer buys a 1TB External HDD then the customer also buys a Logitech 186 Wireless Mouse, if the customer buys a Gamepet Singel Black then the customer buys a Gamepet Double Black, if the buyer buys a Gameped Double Black then the buyer also buys a Logitech 186 Wireless Mouse.

## 4. Conclusion

From a series of research processes carried out, conclusions can be drawn regarding the development of IT Bussines Management using the FP-Growth algorithm method, with the existence of IT Bussines Management by determining the purchase association pattern built using the FP-Growth algorithm will help the owner of the Expert Computer Store in analyzing sales transaction data and further utilization to obtain useful information for the Expert Computer Store.
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