

01-07 Nisa (Cek Turnitin).docx

AUTHOR

Nisa Nisa

WORD COUNT 2491 Words	CHARACTER COUNT 15255 Characters
PAGE COUNT	FILE SIZE
6 Pages	76.3KB
SUBMISSION DATE	REPORT DATE
Mar 19, 2022 9:41 AM GMT+7	Mar 19, 2022 9:42 AM GMT+7

• 12% Overall Similarity

The combined total of all matches, including overlapping sources, for each database.

• 11% Internet database

• 8% Publications database

Crossref database

• Excluded from Similarity Report

- Crossref Posted Content database
- Bibliographic material
- Cited material

- Submitted Works database
- Quoted material
- Small Matches (Less then 10 words)



Vol.16 No.1 | March, 2022

CHANGING THE PARADIGM OF SCIENTIFIC WRITING IS DIFFICULT WITH THE TPACK LEARNING FRAMEWORK

Khairun Nisa¹⁾, Syahrul Ramadhan²⁾, Harris Effendi Thahar³⁾ ^{1,2,3}Universitas Negeri Padang Email: <u>nisakhairun2206@gmail.com</u>

Abstract

Submit : 21/12/2021

Accept : 19/02/2022

Publish : 28/03/2022



⁶ This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. In the era of digital society 5.0, it offers much technology to help students write. However, the available technology will not be used by students if it has not been introduced and taught to use technology that can be used in writing scientific papers. Lecturers can use the TPACK learning framework to teach and train students to write scientific papers. This research belongs to survey research. This study looked at the responses of 40 students taught using technology in applications or recommended websites to facilitate writing scientific papers. The research instrument is in the form of a questionnaire that includes the four components of TPACK based on the recommended technology. The findings showed that the TPACK learning framework could change students' mindsets in writing scientific papers to be easier and more enjoyable. After introducing and teaching techniques with the stages of the TPACK learning framework that can be utilized, students are interested and find it helpful in finding the latest research ideas/topics with many easily accessible references: Publish or Perish, paraphrasing citations from articles to avoid plagiarism: Smoodin, improving writing and grammar: google document and using the reference manager application to help create an automated bibliography: Mendeley.

Keywords: TPACK, scientific writing, survey, applications

http://publikasi.lldikti10.id/index.php/jit

DOI : <u>10.22216/jit.v16i1.770</u>

PAGE: 01-07

INTRODUCTION

Writing is a difficult skill to master for some learners (Fareed et al., 2016). That is the paradigm that is embedded in students' minds when faced with the task of writing scientific papers (Harris & Graham, 1994; Laidlaw, 2005). It is undeniable that writing scientific papers requires good basic skills so that the scientific works produced are in high quality (Husin & Nurbayani, 2017; O'leary, 2017). In addition, students must recognize the characteristics of scientific works by reading a lot. Reading scientific papers in journal articles is highly recommended to build students' critical thinking patterns (Fang, 2006; Schafersman, 1991). The practice of writing scientific papers also must be carried out continuously in order to improve the quality of the scientific papers produced.

In the digital era of Society 5.0, there are many technologies (Yamada, 2021) that can be used to assist students in writing scientific papers (Farikah & Al Firdaus, 2020; Parsons, 2020; Wafiroh et al., 2021). Unfortunately, the available technology is not yet known by students. Introducing technology that can help students is highly recommended in learning scientific papers. Teaching writing scientific papers by utilizing technology can be done with the Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) learning framework (Ersanli, 2016). The TPACK learning framework is widely used as research material to teach science material (Guzey & Roehrig, 2009; Srisawasdi, 2014) or social (Ersanli, 2016; Tseng, 2016) by utilizing technology that supports the material being taught.

The development of modern technology creates new obstacles in many fields, including education (Rubens et al., 2017). Using new or creative technology in education is one of the difficulties for some educators regarding use, networking, and other things (Ertmer, 1999). However, adopting technology alone will not meet the students' needs. The ability of lecturers to integrate technology into their teaching process can help students maximize their potential.

The TPACK technology integration model examines educators' knowledge of technology as a pedagogical instrument in the teaching and learning process. TPACK all about effective teaching with is technology. It includes knowing what makes learning easy or difficult. pedagogical how strategies, and technology can help students. According to TPACK's research findings, the frameworks can be considered as the part of effective teaching. The framework brings together three areas of knowledge — technology, pedagogy, and content into a single unit. The TPACK learning framework used by lecturers in teaching writing material for scientific papers at Asahan University was conducted in this research. To assess the use of the TPACK learning framework, this study examines the all components in TPACK learning framework.

literacv Having technological recommended in finding the latest research ideas/topics with many easily accessible references: Publish or Perish, paraphrasing citations from articles to avoid plagiarism: smoodin and spinner id, improving writing and grammar: google document, and using the reference manager application to help create an automated bibliography such as Mendeley facilitate the creation of learning models using the TPACK model. In this study, technological knowledge (TK), content knowledge (CK), pedagogical knowledge combined (PK), and knowledge (TK) were assessed. Pedagogical, Content (TPACK) (Ammade et al., 2020).

Aiming to improve student learning outcomes, this study seeks to understand better the relationship and interaction oetween content knowledge (the subject matter to be taught), technology knowledge (computers, Internet, digital video), and pedagogical knowledge (practices). The data were collected through administering questionnaire. Further research is required to determine whether the survey results support the TPACK frameworks in these seven aspects. By using survey data, this study evaluates the TPACK learning framework (Archambault & Barnett, 2010).

RESEARCH METHODS

Descriptive research utilizing a closed questionnaire. We employed a questionnaire to assess (seven) TPACK domains, 1) technological knowledge; 2) pedagogical knowledge: 3) content knowledge; 4) technological pedagogical knowledge; technological 5) content knowledge; 6) pedagogical content knowledge; and technological 7) pedagogical content knowledge. The study was conducted at Asahan University with 40 participants. Forty students in this descriptive study are trained to write scientific articles utilizing technology.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The TPACK (Technological Pedagogic Content Knowledge) learning framework integrates technology and specialized applications (content) in learning. This learning incorporates seven related knowledge domains, including:

First, Pedagogical Knowledge. It is a conceptual framework learning model that explains systematic procedures in organizing learning experiences to meet specified learning objectives (Tian & Arief, 2014). This pedagogical knowledge follows the Republic of Indonesia Law No. 14 of 2005 about Teachers and Lecturers. Α teacher's pedagogic competency includes comprehending pupils, planning, implementing, evaluating, and realizing their potential, according to Government Regulation No. 19 of 2005.

Second, Content Knowledge. It is information about the subjects being

studied or taught. According to TPACK's research findings, the topic matter concepts. theories. comprises ideas. frameworks, scientific procedures, and everyday application. Content knowledge is knowledge about the subject matter. Material knowledge is a must-know lesson (Mishra, P. & Koehler, 2006). for (Shulman, 2006) Practices and ways to build content knowledge are included in adding content knowledge.

The third type of knowledge is technological pedagogy. It is about how various technologies can be used in education and how this might transform the way teachers teach (Schmidt et al., 2009). Technology-inappropriate instructional practices and design are included in this expertise (Sahin, 2011).

Fifth. Technological Content Knowledge. It is about how to link technology and content reciprocally. Technological knowledge can be used as a representative model for specific content (Schmidt et al., 2009). TCK is knowledge the interrelationship between about technology and content (Koehler et al., Pedagogical 2014). Sixth, Content Knowledge as a parameter to determine what teaching approach is appropriate to the content and how content elements can be arranged for better teaching. This means pedagogical knowledge applies to teaching specific content. This knowledge is also to determine what teaching approaches are appropriate to the content and how content elements can be arranged for better teaching (Mishra, P. & Koehler, 2006).

rechnological Pedagogical Seventh, Knowledge (TPCK) Content is a conceptual framework that shows the relationship between three pieces of knowledge that must be mastered by teachers, namely technology, pedagogy, and content. This TPCK needs to be mastered by lecturers so that learning activities can run effectively and efficiently. Lecturers are expected to have a reliable understanding of the complex interactions between the three essential components of knowledge, namely PK, CK, and TK, by teaching certain materials using appropriate pedagogic methods and technology (Schmidt et al., 2009). TPACK has advantages over the previous concept, namely PCK. Among them are preparing instructional designs, learning models and strategies, assessment systems. and designing curriculums, all of which are integrated with information and communication technology.

Research has been conducted to obtain information about understanding the relationship and interaction between content knowledge (subject matter to be taught), technological knowledge (computers, Internet, digital video, etc.), and pedagogical knowledge (practices, processes, strategies, procedures, and teaching and learning methods), in order to improve the results of student writing. The results of the study are presented in the following description.

Table 1. Student Perception Interval

No	Category	Interval
1	Very high	89
2	High	72-88
3	Middle	55-71
4	Low	38-54
5	Very low	37







- CK : Content Knowledge
- TPK : Technological Pedagogical Knowledge TCK : Technological Content
- Knowledge
- PCK : Pedagogical Content Knowledge
- TPCK : Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge

Based on the graph above, it can be seen that students' perceptions can be categorized into high category with a percentage of 79.72%. It indicated that the TPACK learning framework as a whole can change the student paradigm that writing scientific papers can be easier and more enjoyable. This can be seen from the results of each related aspect m the components of the TPACK learning framework. In general, the results of the TPACK learning framework are in the high category; namely, the technological knowledge domain has a percentage of 79.04%, then the pedagogical knowledge domain has a percentage of 86.66%, content knowledge has a percentage of 83.33%, technological pedagogical knowledge has a percentage of 75,55%, technological content knowledge with the percentage of 81,11%, pedagogical content knowledge with the percentage of 78,11%. and technological pedagogical content knowledge has a percentage of 74,28%,

Based on the sub-variables of the TPACK learning framework, it can be concluded that Pedagogical the Knowledge domain has a higher percentage than the other sub-variables. which means that students can learn independently, can plan activities to support writing scientific papers more quickly, can find research topics from references with the help of technology, which is easily accessible (Publish or Perish), able to avoid plagiarism of other people's writings with the help of applications such as Smoodin or Spinner, able to improve written work with the help of Google Docs, and able to cite other people's writings effectively and efficiently from reference applications manager such as the Mendeley application.

CONCLUSION

The findings showed that the TPACK learning framework can change students' mindsets in writing scientific papers to be easier and more enjoyable. After introducing and teaching techniques with the stages of the TPACK learning framework that can be utilized, students are interested and find it helpful in finding the latest research ideas/topics with many easily accessible references: Publish or Perish, paraphrasing citations from articles to avoid plagiarism: smoodin and spinner id, improving writing and grammar: google document and using the reference manager application to help create an automated bibliography such as Mendeley.

THANK-YOU NOTE

I want to appreciate by thank to all the people who have supported in finishing this paper. I would like to dedicate my deepest gratitude to Prof., Dr. Syahrul R, M.Pd and Prof., Dr. Harris Effendi Thahar, M.Pd as my advisor who has patiently given his valuable time, considerable help, great willingness, and suggestion toward the completion of this paper.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Ammade, S., Mahmud, M., Jabu, B., & Tahmir, S. (2020). TPACK model based instruction in teaching writing: An analysis on TPACK literacy. *International Journal of Language Education*, 4(1), 129–140. https://doi.org/10.26858/ijole.v4i2.12 441
- Archambault, L. M., & Barnett, J. H. (2010). Revisiting technological pedagogical content knowledge: Exploring the TPACK framework. *Computers and Education*, 55(4), 1656–1662.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.20 10.07.009

- Ersanli, C. Y. (2016). Improving Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK) of Pre-Service English Language Teachers. *International Education Studies*, 9(5), 18–27.
- Ertmer, P. (1999). Addressing first-and second-order barriers to change: Strategies for technology integration. *Educational Technology Research and Development*, 47(4), 47–61.
- Fang, Z. (2006). ESL Learners' Writing Skills: Problems, Factors, and Suggestions. Journal of Education & Social Sciences, 28(4), 491–520.
- Fareed, M., Ashraf, A., & Bilal, M. (2016). ESL Learners' Writing Skills: Problems, Factors and Suggestions. Journal of Education & Social Sciences, 4(2), 83–94. https://doi.org/10.20547/jess0421604 201
- Farikah, F., & Al Firdaus, M. M. (2020). Technological Pedagogical and Content Knowledge (TPACK): The Students' Perspective on Writing Class. Jurnal Studi Guru Dan Pembelajaran, 3(2), 190–199.
- Guzey, S. S., & Roehrig, G. H. (2009). Teaching science with technology: case studies of science teachers' development of technological pedagogical content knowledge (TPCK). *Contemporary Issues in Technology and Teacher Education*, 9(1), 25–45.
- Harris, K., & Graham, S. (1994). Constructivism: Principles, paradigms, and integration. *The Journal of Special Education*, 28(3), 233–247.
- Husin, M. S., & Nurbayani, E. (2017). The Ability of Indonesian EFL Learners in Writing Academic Papers. *Dinamika Ilmu*, 17(2), 237–250.
- Koehler, M., Mishra, P., Kereluik, K., Shin, T., & Graham, C. (2014). *The Technological Pedagogical Content*

Knowledge Framework. In JM Spector et al. (Eds), Handbook of Research on Educational Communications and Technology. New York : Springer Science.

Laidlaw, L. (2005). *Reinventing Curriculum: A Complex Perspective on Literacy and Writing* (1st ed.). Routledge. https://www.taylorfrancis.com/books/

9781135610708

- Mishra, P. & Koehler, M. J. (2006). Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge: A Framework for Teacher Knowledge. *Teachers College Record*, 6(108), 1017–1054.
- O'leary, Z. (2017). The essential guide to doing your research project. Sage.
- Parsons, M. (2020). Preparing for Society 5.0: Podcasting with Children. *Hannan Ronshu*, 55(2), 83–90.
- Rubens, A., Spigarelli, F., Cavicchi, A., & Rinaldi, C. (2017). Universities' third mission and the entrepreneurial university and the challenges they bring to higher education institutions. Journal of Enterprising Communities: People and Places in the Global Economy, 11(3), 354–372.
- Sahin, I. (2011). Development of Survey of Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK). *The Turkish Online Journal of Technological Education*, 10(1), 97– 105.
- Schafersman, S. (1991). An Introduction to Critical Thinking.
- Schmidt, D. A., Baran, E., Thompson, A. D., Mishra, P., Koehler, M. J., & Shin, T. S. (2009). Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge (TPACK): The Development and Validation of an Assessment Instrument for Preservice Teachers. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, 42(2), 123–149.
- Shulman, L. (2006). Those Who Understand; Knowledge Growth in Teaching. *Education Researcher*, 15(2), 4–14.

- Srisawasdi, N. (2014). Developing technological pedagogical content knowledge in using computerized science laboratory environment: An science arrangement for teacher education program. Research & Practice in Technology Enhanced Learning, 9(1).
- Tian, S., & Arief. (2014). Technological Pedagogical Profile Content Knowledge (TPCK) Prospective Students Fkip Biology Teacher University of Riau.
- Tseng, J. J. (2016). Developing an Instrument for Assessing Technological Pedagogical Content Knowledge as Perceived by EFL Students. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, 29(2), 302–315. https://doi.org/10.1080/09588221.201 4.941369
- Wafiroh, N., Nurliana, A., & Firdia, D. (2021). VR Paradise: Virtual Reality Based English Language Training Concept For Banyuwangi Tourism Awareness Group as an Effort to Create Superior HR Towards Era Society 5.0. Pancaran Pendidikan, 10(2).
 - [1] Yamada, A. (2021). Japanese Higher Education: The Need for STEAM in Society 5.0, an Era of Societal and Technological Fusion. *Journal of Comparative and International Higher Education*, 13(1), 44–65.



• 12% Overall Similarity

Top sources found in the following databases:

• 11% Internet database

• 8% Publications database

Crossref database

TOP SOURCES

The sources with the highest number of matches within the submission. Overlapping sources will not be displayed.

jer.iub.edu.pk Internet	2%
repository.uia.ac.id	2%
eprints.unm.ac.id	2%
semnas.untidar.ac.id	1%
mafiadoc.com Internet	1%
indjst.org Internet	<1%
es.scribd.com Internet	<1%
Rikhinati Jannah, Sri Mulyani, Maria Ulfa, Sulistyo Saputro, Sri Ya ^{Crossref}	mtina <1%
repositories.tdl.org	<1%





virtusinterpress.org

Internet

<1%