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#### Abstract

Tridiagonal matrix algorithm is known to be more efficient in the computational process than the Gaussian elimination method in solving linear system problems involving tridiagonal matrices. In this paper, the tridiagonal matrix algorithm is applied to the cubic spline interpolation problem with natural boundary conditions. In this case, the tridiagonal matrix algorithm plays a role in finding the second derivative of each cubic spline sub-function so that it is more efficient in obtaining the coefficients of the third order polynomials that form the cubic spline function.
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## INTRODUCTION

Interpolation is one of the curve matching techniques in numerical methods [1]. Interpolation curves can be constructed by a polynomial function of low order over a subset of data points. This interpolation is called spline interpolation. Spline consists of three types, namely linear splint, quadratic spline, and cubic spline. The most commonly used spline is the cubic spline because it gives better approximation and produces smoother curves [2].

The purpose of cubic spline interpolation is to derive a third order polynomial in each interval between the given data points, where the coefficients of the third order polynomial in each interval will be searched for values. It is known that the second derivative of each sub-function in the cubic spline interpolation determines values of these coefficients [1,2]. This problem can then
be modeled in the form of a tridiagonal matrix system where the vector variable contains the second derivative of the sub-sub-function in the cubic spline interpolation.

To determine the solution of a matrix system, the Gauss elimination method is often used. However, because elements with zero values are more dominant in the tridiagonal matrix, especially with very large sizes, it is necessary to apply a method that can streamline the computational process used in solving the tridiagonal system. One method that can be used is to apply a tridiagonal matrix algorithm.

This algorithm was first developed by Llewellyn Thomas, so it is also known as the Thomas algorithm [3].

This paper will discuss how to determine the value of the second derivative of the cubic spline function using the tridiagonal matrix algorithm so
that the curve of the cubic spline function can be made.

## TRIDIAGONAL MATRIX ALGORITHM

The tridiagonal matrix algorithm is used in solving the tridiagonal system of equations. A tridiagonal system for $n$ unknowns can be written

$$
u_{i} z_{i-1}+v_{i} z_{i}+w_{i} z_{i+1}=r_{i}
$$

where $u_{1}=0$ and $w_{n}=0$. In matrix form, this system is written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
v_{1} & w_{1} & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
u_{2} & v_{2} & w_{2} & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & u_{3} & v_{3} & w_{3} & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & u_{n-1} & v_{n-1} & w_{n-1} \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & u_{n} & v_{n}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
z_{1} \\
z_{2} \\
z_{3} \\
\vdots \\
z_{n-1} \\
z_{n}
\end{array}\right] } \\
&=\left[\begin{array}{c}
r_{1} \\
r_{2} \\
r_{3} \\
\vdots \\
r_{n-1} \\
r_{n}
\end{array}\right] . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

This tridiagonal matrix algorithm consists of two main steps [3]. The first step in the tridiagonal matrix algorithm is to modify the coefficients to be as follows:

$$
\widetilde{w}_{i}=\left\{\begin{aligned}
\frac{w_{1}}{v_{1}}, & i=1 \\
\frac{w_{i}}{v_{i}-\widetilde{w}_{i-1} u_{i}}, & i=2,3, \ldots, n-1
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

and

$$
\tilde{r}_{i}=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
\frac{r_{1}}{v_{1}}, \quad i=1 \\
\frac{r_{i}-\tilde{r}_{i-1} u_{i}}{v_{i}-\widetilde{w}_{i-1} u_{i}}, & i=2,3, \ldots, n
\end{array}\right.
$$

The above steps are a form of forward
elimination
The next step is to determine the solution obtained by backward substitution as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{n}= & \tilde{r}_{n} \text { and } x_{i}=\tilde{r}_{i}-\widetilde{w}_{i} z_{i+1} ; \\
& i=n-1, n-2, \ldots, 1
\end{aligned}
$$

## Note:

1. This algorithm can only be used on matrices where the diagonal is predominant, ie $\left|v_{i}\right| \geq\left|u_{i}\right|+\left|w_{i}\right|$ untuk $i=1,2, \ldots, n$ and at least one $i$ such that $\left|v_{i}\right|>\left|u_{i}\right|+\left|w_{i}\right|$.
2. The number of arithmetic operations required in the algorithm is a number of $10 n-11$ operations. Meanwhile, the elimination of Gauss takes as much $\frac{2 n^{3}}{3}+\frac{3 n^{2}}{2}-\frac{7 n}{6}$ arithmetic operations [4]. Thus the tridiagonal matrix algorithm is more efficient in finding a tridiagonal system solution than the Gaussian elimination for $n \geq 3$.

## CUBIC SPLINE INTERPOLATION

Suppose there are $n$ data points in the $x y$-plane,

$$
\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)
$$

whose values are known. These data points are interpolated using the cubic spline method, that is, by constructing a function

$$
P(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
p_{1}(x), & x_{1} \leq x \leq x_{2} \\
p_{2}(x), & x_{2} \leq x \leq x_{3} \\
\vdots & \\
p_{n-1}(x), & x_{n-1} \leq x \leq x_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $p_{j}(x), j=1,2, \ldots, n-1$ is the
cube polynomial defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{j}(x)= & a_{j}+b_{j}\left(x-x_{j}\right)+c_{j}\left(x-x_{j}\right)^{2}+ \\
& d_{j}\left(x-x_{j}\right)^{3}, \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

that applies at each interval $x_{j} \leq x \leq$ $x_{j+1}$, as $a_{j}, b_{j}, c_{j}$, and $d_{j}$ are the coefficients whose values will be searched to determine $P(x)$. Note that the distance between two adjacent data points at the $x$-coordinate can be generally expressed as $h_{j}=x_{j+1}-x_{j}$, as $j=$ $1,2, \ldots, n-1$.

The function $P(x)$ built on cubic spline interpolation is a function that satisfies the following four properties:
(1) $P(x)$ through all data points
(2) $P(x)$ continuous at the interval $\left[x_{1}, x_{n}\right]$,
(3) $P^{\prime}(x)$ continuous at the interval $\left[x_{1}, x_{n}\right]$
(4) $P^{\prime \prime}(x)$ continuous at the interval $\left[x_{1}, x_{n}\right]$
Using the four properties above, the values for the coefficients $a_{j}, b_{j}, c_{j}$, and $d_{j}$ are obtained in equation (2), as given in the following theorem [4].

Theorem (Cubic Spline Interpolation)
Let $n$ data points are given $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right),\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right), \ldots,\left(x_{n}, y_{n}\right)$ as $h_{j}=x_{j+1}-x_{j}, j=1,2, \ldots, n-1$. Cubic spline

$$
P(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
p_{1}(x), & x_{1} \leq x \leq x_{2} \\
p_{2}(x), & x_{2} \leq x \leq x_{3} \\
\vdots \\
p_{n-1}(x), & x_{n-1} \leq x \leq x_{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{j}(x)=a_{j} & +b_{j}\left(x-x_{j}\right)+c_{j}\left(x-x_{j}\right)^{2} \\
& +d_{j}\left(x-x_{j}\right)^{3},
\end{aligned}
$$

in each interval $x_{j} \leq x \leq x_{j+1}$, which interpolates the given data points, has the following coefficients:

$$
\begin{aligned}
a_{j} & =y_{j}, \\
b_{j} & =\frac{y_{j+1}-y_{j}}{h_{j}}-\left[\frac{P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j+1}\right)+2 P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right)}{6}\right] h_{j}, \\
c_{j} & =\frac{P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right)}{2} \\
d_{j} & =\frac{P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j+1}\right)-P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right)}{6 h_{j}}, \\
\text { for } j & =1,2, \ldots, n-1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this theorem it can be seen that the coefficients $b j, c j$, and $d j$ depend on the values of the unknowns $P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right), P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}\right), \ldots, P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$. Therefore, it is necessary to find these values in order to determine the cubic spline function $P(x)$.

By performing a mathematical analysis of the properties of the cubic spline function $P(x)$, the following matrix equation is obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
H \mathbf{m}=6 \mathbf{k}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
H=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
h_{1} & w_{1} & h_{2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & h_{2} & w_{2} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & w_{n-3} & h_{n-2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & h_{n-2} & w_{n-2} & h_{n-1}
\end{array}\right],
$$

$\mathbf{m}=\left[\begin{array}{c}P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right) \\ P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}\right) \\ \vdots \\ P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n-1}\right) \\ P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\end{array}\right]$,
$\mathbf{k}=\left[\begin{array}{c}\frac{y_{3}-y_{2}}{h_{2}}-\frac{y_{2}-y_{1}}{h_{1}} \\ \frac{y_{4}-y_{3}}{h_{3}}-\frac{y_{3}-y_{2}}{h_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{y_{n-1}-y_{n-2}}{h_{n-2}}-\frac{y_{n-2}-y_{n-3}}{h_{n-3}} \\ \frac{y_{n}-y_{n-1}}{h_{n-1}}-\frac{y_{n-1}-y_{n-2}}{h_{n-2}}\end{array}\right]$, as $w_{j}=2\left(h_{j}+h_{j+1}\right), j=1, \ldots, n-2$.

Note that system (3) consists of equations with $n-2$ variables. In order to obtain a unique solution, two additional equations are needed. These two additional equations can be obtained from the boundary conditions that apply to $P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$. There are several types of boundary conditions that can be used, one of which is natural boundary conditions, namely

$$
P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=0 \text { and } P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=0
$$

By adding the two equations above, $P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ can be eliminated from system (3), so we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1} \mathbf{m}_{1}=6 \mathbf{k}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
H_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccccccc}
w_{1} & h_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
h_{2} & w_{2} & h_{3} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & h_{3} & w_{3} & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & w_{n-4} & h_{n-3} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & h_{n-3} & w_{n-3} & h_{n-2} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & h_{n-2} & w_{n-2}
\end{array}\right],
$$

$$
\mathbf{m}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{4}\right) \\
\vdots \\
P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n-3}\right) \\
P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n-2}\right) \\
P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{n-1}\right)
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Note that system (2) is a tridiagonal matrix system, thus the solution for $\mathrm{m}_{1}$ can be determined efficiently by applying the matrix algorithm as discussed in the previous section.

## APPLICATION EXAMPLES.

For example, the velocity data of a parachutist ( $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) is given at each time (seconds) as in Table 1. Furthermore, the drop velocity is determined at any time along the time interval $[1,13]$ (seconds) by using a spline cubic interpolation with natural boundary conditions.

Table 1. Data of Speed of a Parachutist [5]

| Time <br> (seconds) | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Speed <br> $(\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{sec})$ | 800 | 2310 | 3090 | 3940 | 4755 |

Suppose j represents the number of data, $x_{j}$ represents the time in the $j$-th data, $y_{j}$ represents the speed of the parachutist when $x_{j}$ and $h_{j}=x_{j+1}-$ $x_{j}$ represents the j th time interval. These results are given in Table 2.

Table 2. Data of Parachutist's Speed and Time Interval

| $j$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x_{j}$ | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 13 |
| $y_{j}$ | 800 | 2310 | 3090 | 3940 | 4755 |
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| $h_{j}$ | 2 | 2 | 2 | 6 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

By inputting data in Table 2, system (3) becomes

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
8 & 2 & 0 \\
2 & 8 & 2 \\
0 & 2 & 16
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}\right) \\
P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}\right) \\
P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{4}\right)
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
-2190 \\
210 \\
-1735
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then use the tridiagonal matrix algorithm as follows:

1. Perform forward eliminations to modify the coefficients to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{w}_{1}=0.25 \\
& \widetilde{w}_{2}=0.27
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{r}_{1}=-273.75 \\
& \tilde{r}_{2}=101 \\
& \tilde{r}_{3}=-125.24
\end{aligned}
$$

2. Perform a backward substitution to obtain a solution

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{4}\right)=-125.24 \\
& P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{3}\right)=134.40 \\
& P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}\right)=-307.35 \\
& P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=P^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{5}\right)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by using the Cubic Spline Interpolation Theorem, the values of $a_{j}, b_{j}, c_{j}$, and $d_{j}$ are obtained as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Coefficient values of the cubic spline function

| $j$ | $a_{j}$ | $b_{j}$ | $c_{j}$ | $d_{j}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 800 | 857.45 | 0.00 | -25.61 |
| 2 | 2310 | 550.10 | 153.67 | 36.81 |
| 3 | 3090 | 377.15 | 67.20 | -21.64 |
| 4 | 3940 | 386.31 | -62.62 | -3.48 |

The values of the $a_{j}, b_{j}$, $c_{j}$, dan $d_{j}$ coefficients then form the cubic spline function $P(x)$ that we want to find. The curve of the cubic spline function is given in Figure 1.


Figure 1. Natural Cubic Spline Curve

## CONCLUSION

This paper has discussed the tridiagonal matrix algorithm which is known to be more efficient in the computational process than the Gaussian elimination method. This is because many arithmetic operations required by the tridiagonal matrix algorithm are much less than those required by the Gaussian elimination method.

The tridiagonal matrix algorithm is then applied to the cubic spline interpolation problem with natural boundary conditions. In this case, the tridiagonal matrix algorithm plays a role in finding the value of the second derivative of each cubic spline subfunction so that the third order polynomial coefficients that form the cubic spline function can be obtained.
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